HYPERPHRASE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GOOGLE INC.

Doc. 122 Att. 1

Case: 3:06-cv-00199-bbc Document #: 122-2 Filed: 04/01/2008 Page 1 of 3

EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

HYPERPHRASE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, and HYPERPHRASE INC.)
Plaintiffs,)) Civil Action No. 06-C-0199-S
V.)
GOOGLE INC.,)
Defendant.)

[PROPOSED] ORDER RESCHEDULING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BRIEFING ON GOOGLE'S LICENSE DEFENSE

The Court having considered HyperPhrase's request for revision of the briefing schedule on Google's motion for summary judgment on its license defense to permit HyperPhrase to take discovery and to respond in an orderly fashion to Google's motion, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- (1) Decision on the portion of Google's summary judgment motion concerning its license defense is postponed, as set forth below.
- (2) If the Court does not grant Google's motion for summary judgment of non-infringement or invalidity, the following schedule shall govern any summary judgment decision concerning Google's license defense:
 - HyperPhrase discovery concerning Google's license defense: a period of four weeks after the Court's summary judgment decisions on invalidity and non-infringement.
 - HyperPhrase's response to Google's motion for summary judgment concerning the license defense: three weeks after the conclusion of discovery on the license issue.

•	Google's reply brief: to be filed three weeks after HyperPhrase's respon	
	brief is filed.	
Entered:		
		The Honorable Barbara B. Crabb United States Magistrate Judge